Breaking the Toxicity Barrier: Tumor Eradication in Pre-Clinical Models by Systemic Administration of a Multi-TLR, NOD and STING Agonist HansonWade STING & TLR-Targeting Therapies Summit 2023



May 10, 2023

Michael J. Newman, Ph.D. Founder and Chief Scientific Officer michael@indaptusrx.com

Forward Looking Statements and Disclosure

This presentation contains forward-looking statements with the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. These include statements regarding management's expectations, beliefs and intentions regarding, among other things, our product development efforts, business, financial condition, results of operations, strategies, plans and prospects. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking words such as "believe", "expect", "intend", "plan", "may", "should", "could", "might", "seek", "target", "will", "project", "forecast", "continue" or "anticipate" or their negatives or variations of these words or other comparable words or by the fact that these statements do not relate strictly to historical matters. For example, forward-looking statements are used in this presentation when we discuss Indaptus's future plans and expected timeline of its development pipeline.

Forward-looking statements relate to anticipated or expected events, activities, trends or results as of the date they are made. Because forward-looking statements relate to matters that have not yet occurred, these statements are inherently subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from any future results expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. In addition, historical results or conclusions from scientific research and clinical studies do not guarantee that future results would suggest similar conclusions or that historical results referred to herein would be interpreted similarly in light of additional research or otherwise. Many factors could cause actual activities or results to differ materially from the activities and results anticipated in forward-looking statements, including, but not limited to, the following: Indaptus's plans to develop and potentially commercialize its technology; the timing and cost of Indaptus's planned investigational new drug application and any clinical trials; the completion and receiving favorable results in any clinical trials; Indaptus's ability to obtain and maintain regulatory approval of any product candidate; Indaptus's ability to protect and maintain its intellectual property and licensing arrangements; Indaptus's ability to develop, manufacture and commercialize its product candidates; the risk of product liability claims; the availability of reimbursement; the influence of extensive and costly government regulation; and Indaptus's estimates regarding future revenue, expenses, capital requirements and the need for additional financing following the merger. These risks, as well as other risks are discussed in the proxy statement/prospectus that was included in the registration statement on Form S-4 filed with the SEC in connection with the merger.

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this presentation and are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements included in this presentation. Indaptus does not undertake any obligation to update or revise forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events, except as required by applicable law.

The presentation contains information about investigation-stage drug products under development, which have not yet been approved by the FDA for commercial distribution in the United States. All representations in this presentation are based upon investigations in certain clinical and other research, but which accordingly should not be construed as general claims for the safety or efficacy of the products when used by patients.

The presentation is not intended and does not constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy any securities, nor shall there be any sale of securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to the registration or qualification under the securities laws of any jurisdiction. No offering of securities shall be made except by means of a prospectus meeting the requirements of Section 10 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Disclosure – Michael J. Newman is an employee, director and stockholder of Indaptus Therapeutics.



Current Cancer Immunotherapies: Low Percentage Cures for Most Advanced Cancers



because they activate only one or a few innate or adaptive immune cell types



Improving Cancer Immunotherapy - Indaptus Assumptions

We need to activate innate and adaptive immune pathways

- Innate and adaptive pathways complement/cooperate to produce maximum effect
- > We need to activate innate and adaptive immune pathways in <u>tumor and lymphoid organs</u>
 - Most steps required for innate and adaptive immune responses take place outside of the tumor
 - Tumors negatively remodel entire systemic immune system
 - Systemic immunity is required for successful anti-tumor immunity
 - Hiam-Galvez Nature Rev Cancer 21 345 2021 for review



Goal is Activation of Both Innate and Adaptive Cellular Pathways in Multiple Locations



History provided a clue about how to do this



World's First Immunotherapy: Coley's Toxins (CT)

> Based on long-standing observation of spontaneous cancer regression in setting of infection

- Invented by Dr. William Coley at NYC precursor of Memorial Sloan Kettering in 1894
- Composed of a heat-killed mixture of Gram-negative/positive pathogenic bacteria
- > Coley's Toxins produced durable responses with advanced cancer patients
 - Mechanism of action was not known impossible to optimize or standardize
 - Fifteen different protocols for manufacturing led to significant variability
 - Coley reported CT was likely most effective i.v., but was too toxic, so administered i.t. or s.c.
 - Chemotherapy and radiation therapy supplanted CT approach by mid-20th century
- > Despite lack of current use, historical observations provide clinical validation
 - We now know that activation of the immune system can lead to durable anti-tumor responses
 - We also now know the mechanism of action of Coleys Toxins and why it was too toxic i.v.



Mechanism of Action of Coley's Toxins: Gram-Negative Bacteria Contain Immune System Stimulating Danger Signals

> The most prominent danger signal family activates Toll-like receptors (TLR) on Immune Cells

<u>Source</u>	Danger Signal (TLR Ligand/Agonist)	Toll-Like Receptor on Immune Cells
Bacteria	Lipoproteins, Peptidoglycans	TLR2 (2/1, 2/6)
Viruses/Bacteria	Double Stranded RNA	TLR3
Bacteria	Lipopolysaccharide (LPS-endotoxin)	TLR4
Bacteria	Flagellin	TLR5
Viruses/Bacteria	Single Stranded RNA	TLR7/8
Bacteria	Unmethylated CpG DNA	TLR9

TLRs directly and indirectly activate essentially all immune cells (innate + adaptive)

• Indirect activation occurs via induction of secretion of cytokines and chemokines

> Cytokines and chemokines are principal inducers of anti-tumor immune responses

- Innate cell recruitment, macrophage activation, NK cell activation, $\gamma\delta T$ -cell activation, \sqrt{T} reg
- Adaptive cell recruitment, APC/DC activation, T-cell activation (CD4_H/CD8_{CTL}), \downarrow Treg
- Cytokines can also (directly) kill tumor cells



Toll-Like Receptor (TLR) Agonists from Bacteria Directly Activate Immune Cells and Indirectly Activate by Inducing Secretion of Cytokines and Chemokines



Immune cells can kill tumor or virus-infected cells or inhibit viral infection via cytokine secretion,

cytotoxic granules, apoptosis, antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) and reactive oxygen/nitrogen species (RO/NS)



Problem – IV Administered Gram-Negative Bacteria are Toxic

- > TLR4 agonist LPS-endotoxin constitutes ~75% of the Gram-negative outer cell membrane
 - LPS is one of the most potent and broadly acting immune system danger signals
 - Limits the number of bacteria (and other danger signals) that can be administered i.v.
- Two options eliminate or reduce LPS (activator of TLR4)
 - Elimination of LPS was tried (Vion Pharmaceuticals) no anti-tumor activity in Phase 1
 - TLR4 is required for dendritic cell activation, antigen processing and presentation for anti-tumor immunotherapy (Fang Cell Mol Immunol 11 150 2014; Apetoh Nature Medicine 13 1050 2007)
 - LPS induces M1 Macrophage polarization, stimulates NK cells, maturation of APC/Dendritic cells, primes and amplifies T & B cell function and enhances Th1 immune responses (Buscher Nature Comm 8 16041 2017; Arenas Drug Targets 12 221 2012)
 - Better bet reduce LPS by ~90%

Remaining 10% might be enough and allow i.v. administration of more of everything else



Indaptus Solution – Passively Targeted Pulse Priming (PTPP)

Hypothesis to produce an i.v.-safe and effective product

- Use a single, pure strain of non-pathogenic, Gram-negative bacteria
- Selectively reduce LPS-endotoxin activity by ~90%
- Kill and stabilize the bacteria so that they don't fall apart prior to immune cell clearance

Potential advantages of PTPP approach

- IV-administered bacteria are passively targeted to liver, spleen, (tumors?) and rapidly cleared (≤1 hr)
- Innate and adaptive immune system priming or activation in lymphoid organs and tumor
- Rapid clearance may reduce potential for systemic toxicities common with small molecule, protein and mammalian cell-based immunotherapies that depend on continuous exposure

Decoy products

- Frozen suspension of 100% killed, intact and stabilized bacteria
- Broad and deep U.S./foreign issued patent coverage including compositions, methods and uses



Treatment	Live Bacteria	LPS Endotoxin Activity (LAL Assay)	Pyrogenicity Threshold (Rabbit Assay)	
No Treatment	100%	44.7 Units / 10 ⁶ Bacteria	3x10 ⁴ Bacteria	
Decoy	<mark>0%</mark>	3.6 Units / 10 ⁶ Bacteria (<mark>92% reduction</mark>)	9x10 ⁵ Bacteria (<mark>97% reduction</mark>)	

Decoy therapeutics are also 100 to 2,500-fold less toxic in mice (LD₅₀) than several live, attenuated bacterial products



Decoy Treatment Reduces *In Vivo* Pyrogenicity and Toxicity, but Does Not Reduce (Most) Cytokine Secretion by Human Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells (PBMCs)

Secretion by Human PBMCs <u>In Vitro</u>	Untreated <u>Bacteria</u>	Decoy-Treated Bacteria (Decoy10) <u>Research Strain</u>	Decoy-Treated Bacteria (Decoy20) Drug Candidate strain			
<u>Anti-Tumor</u> <u>Cytokine</u>	48 hr pg/mL peak (mean of triplicates) at same bacterial dose for each cytokine					
GM-CSF	1,094	1,197	1,695			
IFNγ*	175,866	47,488	55,321			
IL-12p70	176	528	428			
ΤΝFα	49,782	77,919	99,247			

*Same bacteria concentration for Untreated and Decoy-Treated, but doesn't represent the peak for Decoy10 or Decoy20

Results suggest potential uncoupling of toxicity from anti-tumor activity



Decoy Bacteria Induce Higher Levels of Cytokine Secretion by Human PBMCs Relative to Pure (Single) TLR Agonist Therapeutics

	<u>CpG ODN</u> (TLR9)	<u>Poly(I:C)</u> (TLR3)	<u>R848</u> (TLR8)	LPS (TLR4)	<u>Decoy20</u> (TLR2,4,5,9)	
<u>Anti-Tumor</u> <u>Cytokine</u>	48 hr pg/mL (full titration peak / mean of triplicates)					
GM-CSF	0	0	87	175	1,695	
IFNγ	7	103	31,324	29,416	75,530	
IL-12p70	4	18	253	109	428	
TNFα	51	208	33,393	24,944	99,247	



Decoy bacteria were tested in triplicate in Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) reporter gene assays Results are expressed as percent of saturating positive control activity



Decoy bacteria were tested in triplicate in Human Embryonic Kidney (HEK) reporter gene assays Results are expressed as percent of saturating positive control activity



Single Agent In Vivo Activity - Orthotopic CT26 Mouse Colorectal Carcinoma

Tumor fragments were sewn onto the cecum wall on Day 0 (7 mice/group) Tumor cells express green fluorescent protein for metastasis imaging





Single Agent In Vivo Activity - Metastatic PanO2 Mouse Pancreatic Carcinoma



17

Single Agent-Mediated Regression of Established, EMT6-HER2 Antigen-Expressing, Mouse Breast Carcinoma Tumors by IV Decoy Bacteria



Decoy treatment started Day 12 with ~170 mm³ tumors (5 mice per group) Anti-PD-1 checkpoint therapy produced a similar result (3/5 CR, not shown)



Decoy Synergizes With a Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drug (NSAID) to Safely Eradicate Subcutaneous H22 Mouse Hepatocellular Carcinomas (HCC)

Treat 6 mice per group with Decoy 2x per week i.v. for 7 weeks / Start treatment at 103 mm³



Combination of Decoy With NSAID + Anti-PD-1 Checkpoint Therapy Produces 100% Complete Responses With H22 Hepatocellular Carcinoma



* Max % transient weight loss each week for combo treatment No increase in toxicity with triple combo Days After Tumor Cell Implant



Tumor-Eradicating Combinations Transform "Cold" HCC Tumors to "Hot"





Systemic Administration of Decoy Therapy (1 i.v. Dose), NSAID and Anti-PD-1 Induces **Cytokine** Immune Pathways in HCC Tumors



Each horizontal row represents a different cytokine or cytokine pathway gene (log base 2 scale)

HCC Model

NanoString 770 gene expression analysis: Cytokines and cytokine pathways in tumor

Mice with 200 mm³ tumors were treated for 1 week before tumor removal and RNA isolation/analysis



Systemic Administration of Decoy Therapy (1 i.v. Dose), NSAID and Anti-PD-1 Induces **Chemokine** Immune Pathways in HCC Tumors

HCC Model

NanoString 770 gene expression analysis: Chemokine or chemokine pathways in tumor

Mice with 200 mm³ tumors were treated for 1 week before tumor removal and RNA isolation/analysis



Each horizontal row represents a different chemokine or chemokine pathway gene (log base 2 scale)



Systemic Administration of Decoy Therapy (1 i.v. Dose), NSAID and Anti-PD-1 Induces Innate Immune Pathways in HCC Tumors

HCC Model

NanoString 770 gene expression analysis: Innate immune pathways in tumor

Mice with 200 mm³ tumors were treated for 1 week before tumor removal and RNA isolation/analysis



Each horizontal row represents a different innate immune pathway gene (log base 2 scale)



Systemic Administration of Decoy Therapy (1 i.v. Dose), NSAID and Anti-PD-1 Induces Adaptive Immune Pathways in HCC Tumors

HCC Model

NanoString 770 gene expression analysis: Adaptive immune pathways in tumor

Mice with 200 mm³ tumors were treated for 1 week before tumor removal and RNA isolation/analysis



Each horizontal row represents a different adaptive immune pathway gene (log base 2 scale)



Synergistic Eradication of H22 Murine HCC Exhibits a Very Wide Decoy Therapeutic Index (≥33-fold)

No Treatment (6 mice per group) 3x10⁷ Decoy 1x10⁸ Decoy 4,000 1,000 1,000 Tumor Volume (mm³) Tumor Volume (mm³) Fumor Volume (mm³) *-0.15% *-4.10% 800 800 3,000 600 600 2,000 All tumors were still at 400 400 0 volume at 143 days 1,000 200 200 7 14 21 28 35 42 49 56 63 70 77 84 91 7 14 21 28 35 42 49 56 63 70 77 84 91 7 14 21 28 35 42 49 56 63 70 77 84 91 **Days After Tumor Cell Implant Days After Tumor Cell Implant Days After Tumor Cell Implant** 3x10⁸ Decoy Start treatment 1x10⁹ Decoy 1,000 at ~200 mm3 1,000 Tumor Volume (mm³) Tumor Volume (mm³) *-4.40% *-8.12% 800 800 Haven't reached toxic dose: 600 600 No deaths and no requirement 400 400 to stop dosing due to weight loss 5 tumors were still at 0 200 200 volume at 143 days 0 7 14 21 28 35 42 49 56 63 70 77 84 91 7 14 21 28 35 42 49 56 63 70 77 84 91 **Days After Tumor Cell Implant Days After Tumor Cell Implant**

All Decoy-treated animals also received indomethacin + Anti-PD-1 therapy



*Maximum transient body weight loss relative to start of treatment

Mice Cured by Decoy + NSAID + Anti-PD-1 and Re-Challenged with Fresh HCC Tumor Cells Reject the Tumors (Immunological Memory)

Eleven Cured Mice were Re-Challenged with Fresh HCC Tumor Cells on Day 91 on the Opposite Flank from the First Challenge Six Naïve Mice were Challenged with the Same Tumor Cells as the Cured Mice on the Same Day



*All 1st challenge tumor sites remained tumor-free

Combination of Decoy + NSAID + Anti-PD-1 Checkpoint Therapy Extends Survival in a Metastatic Pan02 Mouse Pancreatic Carcinoma Model

Pancreatic tumor cells were injected into the spleen on Day 0 All untreated mice developed large tumors in spleen, pancreas and liver





Decoy Therapeutic Synergizes with Low-Dose Cyclophosphamide (LDC) to Safely Induce Regression of s.c. A20 Mouse Non-Hodgkin's-Lymphoma (NHL)







Mice Cured by Decoy + LDC and Re-Challenged with Fresh NHL Tumor Cells Reject the Tumors (Immunological Memory)





High Percentage Eradication of s.c. NHL by Decoy + LDC Requires NK Cells and CD4+ and CD8+ T Cells (Innate and Adaptive Immunity)

Treat all groups (6 mice per group) with i.v. Decoy + LDC for 2 weeks / Start treatment at ~200 mm³





Decoy + LDC Synergizes with a Targeted Antibody to Regress and Eradicate Established Ramos Human NHL Tumor Xenografts in SCID Mice





Decoy Technology Can Induce Immunological Memory Via the Innate Immune System



- Tumor regression with immunological memory via the innate immune system alone is very rare in preclinical models, but consistent with a multiple danger signal mechanism
- Results suggest that Decoy technology may synergize with other marketed ADCC mechanism-based, targeted antibody therapeutics (~12 on market)



Indaptus' Decoy Platform – Clinical Stage / Oncology Summary

- Single agent anti-tumor activity + tumor eradicating synergy with several different existing therapies
- Reduced toxicity and broad therapeutic index (no increase in toxicity with combinations)
- Safe induction of both innate and adaptive immune pathways (MoA) confirmed
- Innate and adaptive immunological memory leading to rejection of tumor re-challenge
- Efficacy in mouse syngeneic and human tumor xenograft models (Breast, CRC, HCC, Pancreatic, NHL)
- GMP batch of drug product produced (Decoy20) stable at -70°C, -20°C (6 months at 5°)
- IND-enabling toxicology with GMP product biomarkers of cytokine release syndromes not seen
- Solid tumor all-comer Phase 1 trial initiated with Decoy bacteria (Decoy20) NCT05651022
- Acknowledgements AntiCancer, Crown Biosciences, Eurofins, InvivoGen, Molecular Diagnostic Services, Pacific BioLabs

